The subject of this study is the Muslim community of Australia and its involvement in the political process, as well as the ideological motivation of the community for supporting radical extremism or, on the other hand, fighting it relentlessly. The article studies the strategies and tactics of the Australian government in their fight against Islamism and terrorism. The author draws attention to the fact that the Muslim community in Australia is quite numerous and tight-knit, and, in many ways, represents a closed community in which the preachers of radical Islam receive wide opportunities for the initiation and recruitment of followers. The methodological basis of the research is a systemic, structural and functional, comparative political approaches, methods of analysis, synthesis, induction, deduction, observation. This situation calls for a ‘new international doctrine’ that would include prevention, intervention, and restoration of mentalities to prevent any re-establishment of terrorism under different names and new generations in the future. Modern Australia does not offer the much-needed contribution in this process. The Australian Government’s domestic approach to the ‘War on Terror’ may lead to a much more conservative Australia, and weaken the Australian values of multiculturalism. It may divide Australia rather than unite it. However, the public discussion on this issue may help the Abbott Government win a second term in office.
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последователей, адептов. Методологической основой исследования является системный, структурно-функциональный, сравнительно-политический подходы, методы анализа, синтеза, индукции, дедукции, наблюдения. Борьба с терроризмом требует нового международного подхода, который будет включать в себя профилактику, вооруженное вмешательство и реконструкцию менталитета, чтобы предотвратить возрождение терроризма под разными именами и воспитание новых поколений террористов в будущем. К сожалению, Австралия сегодня не играет никакой роли в этом процессе. Современный подход австралийского правительства к «войне с терроризмом» у себя дома может привести к ослаблению политики мультикультурализма. Вместе с тем, это может разделить, а не объединить Австралию. Тем не менее, дискуссия в австралийском обществе по поводу того, как именно следует бороться с терроризмом, может помочь правительство Эбботу выиграть второй срок.
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monitor the population as has been reported before. Some of this is undertaken «offshore» by contractors to circumvent Australian law.

There is not just Australian Government surveillance on Muslims going on in Australia. There have been reports of Israeli spying on the Australian Muslim community going on. In addition, both the Saudi and Malaysian Governments are also according to many reports spying on their own students in Australia. This is something the Australian Government has known about for many years, but done little if anything to curtail.

In addition, the author heard numerous stories from members of Mosque congregations about «agents’ infiltrating Muslim groups in Australia, thus increasing suspicion of others within the Muslim community. Many Muslims feel they are being victimized and their freedom and practice of religion compromised. Such action, or mere rumors of surveillance and infiltration is not helping to resolve feelings of alienation and marginalization that many young Australian Muslims fell today, according to reports.

ASIO, like it did during the Cold War era, has casted the net too wide [2]. Stories of bullying and harassing people for «friendly chats», entrapment, bribing, and blackmail, in efforts to infiltrate the Australian Muslim community are rife.

The result of the above is that many Muslim’s feel that they are being held responsible by the Australian public for terrorism and extremism. This is particularly the case where the Australian Government has been promoting, or even insisting on the Australian Islamic community adopting a form of «moderate Australian Islam». Any other form of Islam appears to be demonized and implicitly suggested as being a form of extremism.

Many Muslims in Australia feel that very ideas have been criminalized, being deemed as extreme, blurring the lines between Islamic political activism and terrorism. This demonization has created fear and justified particular actions, such as Australian foreign policy in support of the United States, and the curtailing of civil liberties.

A dramatization of this was seen in the case of Dr. Muhamed Haneef back in 2007, where he was deemed guilty publicly, later to be totally exonerated by the Australian court process.

Islamaphobia has been allowed to develop because it serves political ends. However it is destroying Australian multiculturalism and building opposition to immigration. This assisted Howard regain election back in 2001 with the «MV Tampa» incident, and baseless allegations during the 2001 election campaign that boat people threw their children overboard to avoid being turned back at sea.

Australia is more unsafe than before. Some Muslims now feel unsafe to leave home. Many Muslims have been abused in public and arson of mosques in Australia is becoming more common. The turban and scarf have become symbols of terrorism. Raids have gone on around Australia where very few people have actually been charged with any offence.

Australian foreign policy has led to many disappointments within the Australian Muslim community. The invasion of Iraq, the invasion of Afghanistan, tacit support for the use of drones, Guantanamo, and the Australian behavior towards the David Hicks case, who has now been exonerated, have alienated many. This is particularly so, where many believe that objective discussion within the community about what they see as the real issues is suppressed. Muslims interviewed at a Friday prayer congregation, felt the Australian community wanted apologies from the local Muslim community over world events like 9/11, the Bali bombings, and 7/7.

According to a recent survey taken, 60% of Muslim Australians believe the «war on terror» is a war on Islam.

Many Muslims have sympathy for the people who are now suffering because of «coalition» foreign policy in the Middle East. The author heard of some who felt a duty or «jihad» to help those who are suffering, and travel across to war torn areas. Many feel that the peoples of Syria and Iraq have been abandoned and left to suffer. However many have not gone to fight, as the Australian Government have espoused. They have gone to give humanitarian assistance to these war torn communities, and in some cases get caught up in the fighting. Consequently been painted are jihadist terrorists.

The question is, whether successive Australian Governments have sort to integrate or assimilate the Australian Muslim community? Much of the narrative has a neo-Christian undertone in its policy framework. «Reclaim Australia» see Muslims as a threat to an Anglo-Australian culture and life.
style, where Islamophobia has united a small core of Australians who are against multiculturalism. The new citizenship test even appears to pose a «skewed concept of Australian values». The attempts to legalize the stripping of citizenship, where a leading constitutional expert believes that people under the proposed laws can be stripped of citizenship by mere suspicion, appears to be a new attempt to intimidate migrants to Australia.

The political climate in Australia today does not allow for discussion about alternative approaches to fighting terrorism, or objective discussion about the refugees problem, not just facing Australia, but many parts of the world as well. The Australian Government paints a gloomy picture about the «war on terror», by its own rhetoric, deeming it unwinnable. They insinuate that the Australian community is helpless and an easy prey for the «forces of evil» through terrorism. This is creating some apprehension in middle Australia.

In a more eloquent characterization, the London Arab language daily Al-Sharq Al-Awsat compared Islamic State to a remote controlled «cluster bomb». «Every explosion means as many fragments — jihadists spreading in an unpredictable way on large areas so that no command and counterterrorist operation center be able to prevent the deflagration clusters and its devastating effects.»

With the way Islamic State is reaching out to communities through cyberspace and espouse their narratives, more than just the «classical approach» to fighting terrorism is required. The physiological sources that are producing fanatical and eschatological thinking that produces jihadist terrorism needs to be engaged, rather than suppressed through counter force, as the natural reaction has been.

As my dear friend, prof. Anis H. Bajrektarevic diagnoses: «terror is a tactics, not an ideology. How can one conduct and win war on tactics? — it is an oxymoron.» (Denazification Urgently Needed in Europe, policy paper)

Maybe part of the problem is the «war on terrorism» itself.
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